1	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney ADRIAN T. KINSELLA Assistant United States Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100		
2			
3			
4	Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 554-2700		
5	Facsimile: (916) 554-2900		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America		
7			
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 2:22-CR-0055-TLN	
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE	
13	v.	TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT; FINDINGS AND ORDER	
14	ESTEBAN GERARDO RAMIREZ, AKA	DATE: May 26, 2022 TIME: 9:30 a.m.	
15	"ESTEBAN RAMIREZ VERDUŻCO," AND MARSHA GARMA PHILLIPS,	COURT: Hon. Troy L. Nunley	
16	Defendants.		
17			
18	STIPULATION		
19	1. By previous order, this matter was	s set for status on May 26, 2022.	
20	2. By this stipulation, defendants now move to continue the status conference until August		
21	4, 2022, at 9:30 a.m., and to exclude time between May 26, 2022, and August 4, 2022, under Local Cod		
22	T4.		
23	3. The parties agree and stipulate, an	d request that the Court find the following:	
24	a) The government has repres	sented that the discovery associated with this case	
25	includes over 20 gigabytes of investigative reports and related documents in electronic form,		
26	including undercover footage and audio, recorded statements, forensic cellular phone extractions		
27	search warrants, and other evidence. All of this discovery has been either produced directly to		
28	counsel and/or made available for inspection and copying.		

## Case 2:22-cr-00055-DAD Document 27 Filed 05/25/22 Page 2 of 3

- b) Counsel for defendants desire additional time to consult with their clients, review the current charges, conduct investigation and research related to the charges, review and copy discovery for this matter, to discuss potential resolutions with their clients, and to otherwise prepare for trial.

  c) Counsel for defendants believe that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny them the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
  - d) The government does not object to the continuance.
- e) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- f) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of May 26, 2022 to August 4, 2022, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

## Case 2:22-cr-00055-DAD Document 27 Filed 05/25/22 Page 3 of 3

1		
2	4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the	
3	Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial	
4	must commence.	
5	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
6 7	Dated: May 24, 2022	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney
8		/s/ ADRIAN T. KINSELLA
9		ADRIAN T. KINSELLA Assistant United States Attorney
10		
11	Dated: May 24, 2022	/s/ LUPE MARTINEZ
12		LUPE MARTINEZ Counsel for Defendant
13		ESTEBAN GERARDO RAMIREZ
14		
15	Dated: May 24, 2022	/s/ ETAN ZAITSU ETAN ZAITSU
16		Counsel for Defendant MARSHA GARMA PHILLIPS
17		WAKSHA GAKWA I HILLII 3
18		
19		ORDER
20	IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDER	RED this 24 <sup>th</sup> day of May, 2022.
21		
22   23		My - Hunlay
24		Troy L. Nunley
25		United States District Judge
26		
27		
28		